Agenda Of The Special Meeting – Oversight Board for the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City Council Chambers Civic Center 1243 National City Boulevard National City, California Tuesday – December 11, 2012 – 4:30 P.M.

Open To The Public

Please complete a request to speak form prior to the commencement of the meeting and submit it to the Oversight Board Secretary.

It is the intention of your National City Oversight Board to be receptive to your concerns in this community. Your participation in local government will assure a responsible and efficient City of National City. We invite you to bring to the attention of the Board Chairman any matter that you desire the National City Oversight Board to consider. We thank you for your presence and wish you to know that we appreciate your involvement.

ROLL CALL

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag by Chairman Ron Morrison

Public Oral Communications (Three-Minute Time Limit)

NOTE: Pursuant to state law, items requiring National City Oversight Board action must be brought back on a subsequent National City Oversight Board Agenda unless they are of a demonstrated emergency or urgent nature.

Upon request, this agenda can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Please contact the City Clerk's Office at (619) 336-4228 to request a disability-related modification or accommodation. Notification 24-hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

It is Requested That All Cell Phones
And Pagers Be Turned Off During The Meetings

PRESENTATIONS

OVERSIGHT BOARD ACTIONS

1. Approval of the Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City meeting held on December 5, 2012.

PUBLIC HEARING

2. Open Public Comment session Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(b) regarding the Non-housing Assets Due Diligence Review conducted Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5.

REPORTS

Adjourn to the next regular adjourned meeting of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Community Development Commission as the National City Redevelopment Agency scheduled on December 19, 2012 at 3:00 p.m. in Council Chambers, Civic Center.

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF NATIONAL CITY

December 5, 2012

This Special Meeting of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City was called to order at 3:03 pm by Chairman Ron Morrison.

ROLL CALL

Board Members Present: Aguirre, Carson, Desrochers, Morrison, Perri.

Absent: Donaldson, Hentschke

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE by Chairman Ron Morrison

PRESENTATIONS: None

OVERSIGHT BOARD ACTIONS

1. **SUBJECT:** Approval of the Minutes of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City Regular Meeting on October 24, 2012.

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the minutes as presented.

ACTION: Motion by Aguirre, seconded by Carson to approve the minutes of the Oversight Board meeting held October 24, 2012. Motion carried by the following vote: Ayes Aguirre, Carson, Desrochers, Morrison, Perri. Absent: Donaldson, Hentschke.

2. SUBJECT: Resolution of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Community Development Commission as the National City Redevelopment Agency authorizing the Successor Agency's entering a Third Amendment to the Agreement for legal services by Best Best & Krieger, LLP, for the CYAC legal challenge defense to increase the not-to-exceed amount by \$45,000 for a total amount of \$409,000. (Resolution 2012-18)

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the Resolution.

ACTION: Motion carried to approve the resolution by the following vote: Ayes Aguirre, Carson, Desrochers, Morrison, Perri. Absent: Donaldson, Hentschke **REPORTS**

3. SUBJECT: Resolution of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Community Development Commission as the National City Redevelopment Agency authorizing the Successor Agency's entering the First Amendment to the Agreement for legal services by Christensen & Spath, LLP, to extend the term for

an additional two years and increase the not-to-exceed amount by \$125,000 for a total amount of \$125,000. (Resolution 2012-19)

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the Resolution.

ACTION: Motion carried to approve the resolution by the following vote: Ayes Aguirre, Carson, Desrochers, Morrison,

Perri. Absent: Donaldson, Hentschke

4. SUBJECT: Resolution of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Community Development Commission as the National City Redevelopment Agency authorizing the Executive Director to execute a First Amendment to the Agreement for legal services to the Oversight Board provided by Cummins & White to extend the term of the Agreement for one year, expiring December 31, 2013. (Resolution 2012-20)

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the Resolution.

ACTION: Motion carried to approve the resolution by the following vote: Ayes Aguirre, Carson, Desrochers, Morrison, Perri. Absent: Donaldson, Hentschke

REPORTS

RECESS

A recess was called at 3:08 pm. Meeting reconvened at 3:10 pm.

5. SUBJECT: Open Public comment session Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(b) regarding the Non-housing Assets Due Diligence Review conducted Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5 previously.

RECOMMENDATION: To continue this item to the next special meeting scheduled for December 11, 2012.

ACTION: Motion by Desrochers, seconded by Perri to continue this item to the next special meeting on December 11, 2012. Motion carried by the following vote: Ayes Aguirre, Carson, Desrochers, Morrison, Perri. Absent: Donaldson, Hentschke

ADJOURNMENT

The special meeting was adjourned to the next Special Meeting of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Community Development Commission as the National City Redevelopment Agency to be held on December 11, 2012 at 4:30 p.m., Council Chambers – National City Civic Center, California.

The meeting adjourned at 3:44 p.m.

Book 1 / Page 26 12/5/2012

	Clerk
	Secretary
The foregoing minutes were approved at the Special	Meeting of December 11, 2012.
	Oversight Board Chairman

OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION AS THE NATIONAL CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AGENDA STATEMENT

MEETING DATE: December 11, 2012 AGENDA ITEM NO. 2

ITEM TITLE: Oregarding the res	pen Public Comment ses ults of the Non-housing A	ssion Pursuant to Assets Due Diliger	Health and Safety Co	de Section 34179.6(b) I Pursuant to Health an	d
Safety Code Sec		J			
PREPARED BY: PHONE:	Brad Raulston 336-4256	ı	DEPARTMENT:		
EXPLANATION:		,	APPROVED BY:		
Please see attache	ed staff report.				
FINANCIAL STA	TEMENT:		APPROVED:		Finance
ACCOUNT NO.			APPROVED:		MIS
ENVIRONMENTA	AL REVIEW:				
ORDINANCE: 1	NTRODUCTION:	FINAL ADOPTION	ON:		
STAFF RECOMM	MENDATION:				
BOARD / COMM	ISSION RECOMMENDA	TION:			
ATTACHMENTS:	:				

- 1. Notice of Public Comment
- 2. Due Diligence Report for Non-Housing Assets Review

NOTICE OF PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION

OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCYTO THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION AS THE NATIONAL CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(b), the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Community Development Commission as the National City Redevelopment Agency will hold a public comment session on Tuesday, December 11, 2012, at 4:30 pm, in the City Hall Council Chambers at the City of National City, 1243 National City Blvd, National City, CA 91950 on the due diligence review results of the assets of the Successor Agency's non-housing funds. Specifically, but without limitation, any interested members of the public may comment on the amount of cash and cash equivalents in the non-housing funds determined by the due diligence review to be available for allocation to affected taxing entities prior to the Oversight Board's review, approval and transmittal of the results to the Department of Finance and County Auditor-Controller, which must occur no less than five business days after this Public Comment Session and by January 15, 2013.

As required by Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5 the Successor Agency to the Community Development Commission as the National City Redevelopment Agency retained a licensed accountant to conduct a due diligence review of the Successor Agency's assets to determine the unobligated balances available for transfer to taxing entities. The due diligence review was to be performed in two phases, the first of which pertained to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and has already been completed. The second phase covers all other assets (the "non-housing" assets) of the Successor Agency and is the subject of this comment session. The licensed accountant's due diligence review of the non-housing assets is complete and the purpose of this public comment session is to allow any interested persons to comment on or question the determinations in the due diligence review prior to the Oversight Board's review and approval of the due diligence review and the net balances available for allocation to affected taxing entities.

A copy of the due diligence review of the non-housing assets may be obtained on the City of National City's website at www.nationalcityca.gov or by calling 619-336-4250.

Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.



An Independent CPA Firm

2301 Dupont Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92612 949-474-2020 ph 949-263-5520 fx www.mhm-pc.com

Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City
1243 National City Blvd
National City, CA 91950

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City (Successor Agency), the California Department of Finance, the California State Controller's Office, and the County Auditor-Controller's Office, solely to assist you in complying with the requirement for a due diligence review of the nonhousing funds of the former Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency pursuant to Section 34179.5(c) of the California Health and Safety Code. Management of the Successor Agency is responsible for the Successor Agency's compliance with the California Health and Safety Code. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with the attestation standards established by the American Institute of Public Accountants for such engagements. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. We were not engaged to, and did not; perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

Our procedures and results were as follows:

1. We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets (at their recorded book values) that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. We agreed the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency.

Results: On February 1, 2012, \$50,342,887 of redevelopment fund net assets were transferred to the Successor Agency. This amount is presented in the "Total Transfers" line on EXHIBIT B in the Non-Housing column for the Successor Agency: 5 months ended June 30, 2012. The individual assets and liabilities are presented in the same column at their June 30, 2012 balances. We agreed the listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency.

- 2. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
 - a. We obtained a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City Page 2 of 6

<u>Results:</u> The State Controller's Office has not completed the review of transfers. There were no transfers made to the city or county for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

b. We obtained a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

<u>Results:</u> There were no transfers made to the city or county for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

c. For each transfer, we obtained the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer.

Results: This step is not applicable.

- 3. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
 - a. We obtained a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

<u>Results:</u> There were no transfers for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 to any other public agency or private party.

b. We obtained a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

<u>Results:</u> There were no transfers for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 to any other public agency or private party.

c. For each transfer, we obtained the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer.

<u>Results:</u> This step is not applicable.

4. We obtained from the Successor Agency a summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in the California State Controller's Office's procedures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, and the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each period presented, we determined that the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounted fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period. We compared amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period.

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City Page 3 of 6

<u>Results:</u> The schedule is presented at EXHIBIT B. This June 30, 2010 State Controller's Report and the Community Development Commission audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 included other Community Development Commission funds that were not Redevelopment Agency funds such as CDBG, Section 8, HOME, and other funds. These non-Redevelopment Agency funds have been excluded from EXHIBIT B.

5. We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Redevelopment Agency Funds (excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) as of June 30, 2012. We also agreed the assets listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency.

<u>Results:</u> The listing of assets is included in EXHIBIT B. We agreed the assets listed to the accounting records to the Successor Agency without exception.

- 6. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for specific purposes and performed the following procedures:
 - a. Unspent bond proceeds:
 - i. We obtained the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.).
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
 - iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances.

<u>Results:</u> See EXHIBIT E. We agreed the amounts to the accounting records and investment statements. We reviewed the legal documents that set forth the restrictions and verified that the associated bonds are on the approved ROPS.

- b. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:
 - i. We obtained the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances.
 - ii. We traced individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation.
 - iii. We obtained from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances.

Results: There are no grant proceeds or program income.

- c. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:
 - i. We obtained the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City Page 4 of 6

- ii. We traced individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation.
- iii. We obtained from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances.

Results: There are no other assets considered to be legally restricted.

d. We attached the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, we indicated in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect.

Results: See EXHIBIT E.

- 7. We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertained if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.
 - a. If the assets listed at 7(a) were listed at purchase cost, we traced the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and noted any differences.
 - b. For any differences noted in 7(b), we inspected evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertained that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund.
 - c. If the assets listed at 7(a) were listed at recently estimated market value, we inspected the evidence (if any) supporting the value and noted the methodology used.

<u>Results</u>: See EXHIBIT C. All amounts are reported at purchase cost. For capital assets, we traced the amounts to previously audited financial statements and current year accumulated depreciation additions. For loans receivable, we traced amounts to previously audited financial statements and current year repayments. For land held for resale, we traced amounts to previously audited financial statements.

8. We performed the following procedures:

- a. For assets balance needed to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, we obtained from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and performed the following procedures:
 - i. We compared all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.
 - ii. We compared all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City Page 5 of 6

- iii. We compared the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.
- iv. We attached as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. We also identified in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.

<u>Results:</u> There are no other assets that are legally or contractually dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation.

- b. For future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are insufficient to fund future obligation payments and thus retention of current balances is required, we obtained from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that included a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and performed the following procedures:
 - i. We compared the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance.
 - ii. We compared the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each enforceable obligation by obtaining from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. For the forecasted annual revenues, we obtained the assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclosed the major assumptions associated with the projections.

Results: This procedures is not applicable.

- c. For projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues that were received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments, we obtained from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and applied the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule:
 - i. We compared the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
 - ii. We obtained the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. We obtained the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.

Results: This procedure is not applicable.

d. If procedures, A, B, or C were performed, we calculated the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures:

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City Page 6 of 6

- i. We combined the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
- ii. We reduced the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements.

Results: This procedure is not applicable.

9. For cash balances as of June 30, 2012 that need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, we obtained a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013.

Results: EXHIBIT D summarizes the net enforceable obligations over/under available funding for ROPS 2 (July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012) and ROPS 3 (January 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013). We compared the projected property tax and estimated admin fees and pass throughs for ROPS 3 to correspondence from the County of San Diego. We determined the reasonableness of revenue from interest and rents without exception. For the RORF Obligations, we reconciled the amounts presented to the applicable approved ROPS line item for ROPS 2 and ROPS 3. The schedule also includes the County of San Diego true-up payment made on July 12, 2012 in the amount of \$4,272,833.

10. We have included a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities.

<u>Results:</u> See EXHIBIT A. There is no balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities.

11. We obtained a representation letter from management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report.

Results: No exceptions noted as a result of this procedure.

This letter is intended solely for the information and use of the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City, the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of National City, the California Department of Finance, the California State Controller's Office, and the County of San Diego's Auditor-Controller's Office and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Irvine, California December 10, 2012

Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE NATIONAL CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Summary of Balances Available for Allocation of Affected Taxing Entities
June 30, 2012

SUMMARY OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

Total amount of assets held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds)	\$ 56,576,901	ЕХНІВІТ В
Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments.	(39,655,687)	EXHIBIT E
Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (i.e. physical assets)	(11,967,524)	EXHIBIT C
Less balances that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations)	æ	
Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (procedure 9)	(4,330,581)	EXHIBIT D
Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for future fiscal years	(\$E)	
Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance	(4,272,833)	
Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities	\$ (3,649,724)	

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE NATIONAL CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Summary of Financial Transactions 6/30/2012

										æ		
led 6/30/2012 Total	53,018,927	8,167 5,860 737,026	2,796	9,032,509 2,112,829 64,995,107	594,892	40,313 25,000	4,272,833 411,216	71,115,000	(11,464,147)	356,748 2,019,208 59,200,484	57,538,024	- (69,002,171) \$ (11,464,147)
Successor Agency: 5 Months Ended 6/30/2012 nd Moderate ne Housing Non-Housing Total	44,605,326 76,993	8,167 1,255	2,796	9,032,309 2,112,829 56,576,901	66,854	40,313 25,000	4,272,833 411,216	71,115,000	(19,354,315)	339,082 1,034,113 50,342,887	49,647,856	(69,002,171) C \$(19,354,315)
Successor A Low and Moderate Income Housing	8,413,601	4,605		8,418,206	528,038	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	528,038	7,890,168	985,095	7,890,168	\$ 7,890,168
Redevelopment Agency 7 Months Ended 1/31/2012	#(1	60 6339	•120 • 1	06 1 1	(9)	96 1	(6) (6) (9)	1 (4)	l I	7,765,733 10,439,941 (65,683,704) B	(68,357,912)	68,357,912
Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2011	53,880,624	194,122 11,386 8 591 801	2,796	9,392,309	1,317,582	56,167 87,500	739,966 1,621,072	3,822,287	68,357,912	54,002,728 13,642,661 (811,158)	39,548,909	30,617,840 (1,808,837) A \$ 68,357,912
Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2010	\$ 15,645,135 2,628,926	198,766 21,530 13,056,241	18,680	9,392,309	2,367,076	7,976,871	\$0 80 kG	10,343,947	30,617,840 \$ 40,961,787	\$ 14,909,191 16,879,096 393,028	(1,576,877)	32,194,717
	Assets: Cash and investments Accounts receivable	Property tax receivable Accrued interest receivable	Due from other funds Due from other governments	Land held for resale Capital assets Total Assets	Liabilities: Accounts payable	Other liabilities Deposits payable	Due to other governments Advances from other funds Deferred revenue	Long-Term liabilities Total Liabilities	Equity Total Liabilities + Equity	Total Revenues: Total Expenditures/Expenses: Total Transfers:	Net change in equity	Beginning Equity: Equity Adjustment Ending Equity:

A Adjustments made after the State Controller's Report was prepared.

B Transfers out are the extraordinary gains/losses recorded to transfer assets and liabilities to the successor agency. The net transfer of \$6,483,220 is the transfer to the Housing Fund.

C Adjustments to record Successor Agency on the full accrual basis of accounting (capital assets and long-term liabilities).

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE NATIONAL CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY Not Liquid Assets 6/30/2012

Asset	Cost at 6/30/2012			
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	\$	2,112,829		
Loans receivable		737,026		
Land held for resale		9,032,509		
Total Not Liquid Assets	<u>\$</u>	11,967,524		

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE NATIONAL CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY SA RORF Funding Sources and Obligations (Cash Flows)

(Excludes the LMIHF, expenditures of bond proceeds and cash restricted for debt service reserves) (1)

Amounts for ROPS 2 are actuals or estimated actuals. Amounts for ROPS 3 conform to DOF letter dated October 7, 2012.

	DODO	ROPS 2	ROPS 3	
	ROPS	July - Dec 2012		T 1 5 5 7 404 0 40
OA DODGE - III - O	Line Item	1-Jun-12	2-Jan-13	Total FY 2012-13
SA RORF Funding Sources:				
Projected Property Tax from RPTTF (2)			6,160,399	6,160,399
Less: Est Admin Fees and pass-throughs (2)			(809,858)	(809,858)
Net Projected RPTTF distribution		-	5,350,541	5,350,541
Less: Adjustment to match ROPS 3 approved RPTTF			(776,299)	(776,299)
Add: Revenue from interest and rents		50,000	50,000	100,000
Total Available Funding		50,000	4,624,242	4,674,242
Less: Obligations and payments (actual or estimated ac RORF Obligations:	ctual):			
Various non-housing capital projects	Various		(50,000)	(50,000)
Bond debt service	1-4	(5,772,472)		(7,712,542)
Loan Agreement with JPFA (police facility)	89	(320,000)	,	(320,000)
Admin Cost	Various	(133,257)	(133,257)	(266,514)
Legal services	Various	(200,000)	(263,100)	(463,100)
CDC Property Maintenance	Various	(34,310)	(34,110)	(68,420)
Other Professional Services	Various	(53,799)		(124,247)
Total RORF Obligations		(6,513,838)	(2,490,985)	(9,004,823)
Net of RORF Obligations (over)/under available funding	l			
at end of each period		(6,463,838)	2,133,257	(4,330,581)

Notes:

- 1. The LMIHF was subject to a separate analysis. Bond proceeds and debt service reserves held by the trustee banks cannot be used to meet cash flow needs or other obligations of the SA and are therefore excluded from this analysis.
- 2. Property tax, admin fees and pass through payments for ROPS 3 are based on estimates provided by the County of San Diego on Oct. 1, 2012. ROPS 2 payments were collected prior to June 30, 2012 and are included in the cash balances reported on EXHIBITS A and B.

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE NATIONAL CITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY SCHEDULE OF UNSPENT BOND PROCEEDS JUNE 30, 2012

			On		
Bond Description	U	nspent Bond Proceeds	Approved ROPS	Maturity Date	
2011 Tax Allocation Bonds - fiscal agent	\$	4,243,078	Yes	2032	
2011 Tax Allocation Bonds *		32,698,647	Yes	2032	
1999 Tax Allocation Bonds - fiscal agent		333,294	Yes	2019	
2004 Tax Allocation Bonds - fiscal agent		35,626	Yes	2015/2033	A
2005 Tax Allocation Bonds Series A & B - fiscal agent		2,345,042	Yes	2033	
	\$	39,655,687			

Legal document restricting assets:

2011 Tax Allocation Bonds - bond indenture dated March 1, 2011

1999 Tax Allocation Bonds - bond indenture dated June 1, 1999.

2004 Tax Allocation Bonds - bond indenture dated June 1, 2004.

2005 Tax Allocation Bonds - bond indenture dated January 1, 2005.

A The bonds consist of \$3,045,000 in serial bonds which mature from 2005-2015 and \$1,085,000 in serial bonds which matures from 2027-2033.

^{*} These unspent bond proceeds were drawn down from the fiscal agent in 2011 and held by the successor agency in a segregated cash account.